arXiv:math/0209083v2 [math.GR] 16 Jan 2003

VERY SIMPLE REPRESENTATIONS: VARIATIONS ON A THEME OF CLIFFORD

YURI G. ZARHIN

Abstract. We discuss a certain class of absolutely irreducible group representations that behave nicely under the restriction to normal subgroups and subalgebras. Interrelations with doubly transitive permutation groups and hyperelliptic jacobians are discussed.

1. Introduction

We start this paper with the following natural definition.

Definition 1.1. Let V be a vector space over a field k, let G be a group and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$ a linear representation of G in V. Suppose $R \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is an k-subalgebra containing the identity operator Id. We say that R is *normal* if

$$\rho(s)R\rho(s)^{-1} \subset R \quad \forall s \in G.$$

Examples 1.2. Clearly, $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is normal. The algebra $k \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ of scalars is also normal. If H is a *normal* subgroup of G then the image of the group algebra $k[H]$ in $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is normal.

The following assertion is a straightforward generalization of well-known Clifford's theorem [1]; [3, §49]; [13, §8.1]; [7, Ch. 6].

Lemma 1.3 (Lemma 7.4 of [15])**.** *Let G be a group, k a field, V a non-zero k-vector space of finite dimension n and*

$$\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$$

an irreducible representation. Let $R \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V)$ be a normal subalgebra.

Then:

- (i) *The faithful R-module V is semisimple.*
- (ii) *Either the R-module V is isotypic or there exists a subgroup $G' \subset G$ of index r dividing n and a G'-module V' of finite k-dimension n/r such that $r > 1$ and the G-module V is induced from V'.*

The following notion was introduced by the author in [15] (see also [16]); it proved to be useful for the construction of abelian varieties with small endomorphism rings [18, 17].

Definition 1.4. Let V be a non-zero finite-dimensional vector space over a field k, let G be a group and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$ a linear representation of G in V. We say that the G-module V is *very simple* if it enjoys the following property:

If $R \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is a normal subalgebra then either $R = k \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ or $R = \mathrm{End}_k(V)$.

Partially supported by the NSF.

Here is (obviously) an equivalent definition: if $R \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is a normal subalgebra then either $\dim_k(R) = 1$ or $\dim_k(R) = (\dim_k(V))^2$.

In this paper we prove that very simple representations over an algebraically closed field are exactly those absolutely irreducible representations that are *not* induced from a representation of a proper subgroup and do *not split* non-trivially into a tensor product of projective representations. This assertion remains valid for representations of *perfect* groups over *finite* fields. We also give a certain criterion that works for any ground field with trivial Brauer group.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we list basic properties of very simple representations. In §3 we discuss certain natural constructions of representations that are *not* very simple. The Section 4 contains the statement of main results about very simple representations and their proof. In the Section 5 we discuss interrelations between very simple representations and doubly transitive permutation groups. The last section contains applications to hyperelliptic jacobians.

Acknowledgements. This paper germinated during author's short stay in Manchester in August of 2002. The author would like to thank UMIST Department of Mathematics for its hospitality. My special thanks go to Professor A. V. Borovik for helpful encouraging discussions.

2. Very simple representations

Remarks 2.1. (i) Clearly, if $\dim_k(V) = 1$ then the G-module V is very simple. In other words, every one-dimensional representation is very simple.

(ii) Clearly, the G-module V is very simple if and only if the corresponding $\rho(G)$-module V is very simple.

(iii) Clearly, if V is very simple then the corresponding algebra homomorphism

$$k[G] \to \mathrm{End}_k(V)$$

is surjective. Here $k[G]$ stands for the group algebra of G. In particular, a very simple module is absolutely simple.

(iv) If G' is a subgroup of G and the G'-module V is very simple then the G-module V is also very simple.

(v) Suppose W is a one-dimensional k-vector space and

$$\kappa : G \to k^* = \mathrm{Aut}_k(W)$$

is a one-dimensional representation of G. Then the G-module $V \otimes_k W$ is very simple if and only if the G-module V is very simple. Indeed, there are the canonical k-algebra isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{End}_k(V) = \mathrm{End}_k(V) \otimes_k k = \mathrm{End}_k(V) \otimes_k \mathrm{End}_k(W) \cong \mathrm{End}_k(V \otimes_k W),$$

which are isomorphisms of the corresponding G-modules.

(vi) Let G' be a normal subgroup of G. If V is a very simple G-module then either $\rho(G') \subset \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$ consists of scalars (i.e., lies in $k \cdot \mathrm{Id}$) or the G'-module V is absolutely simple. Indeed, let $R' \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ be the image of the natural homomorphism $k[G'] \to \mathrm{End}_k(V)$. Clearly, R' is normal. Hence either R' consists of scalars and therefore $\rho(G') \subset R'$ consists of scalars or $R' = \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ and therefore the G'-module V is absolutely simple.

As an immediate corollary, we get the following assertion: if $\dim_k(V) > 1$, the G-module V is *faithful* very simple and G' is a *non*-central normal subgroup of G then the G'-module V is absolutely simple; in particular,

G' is *non*-abelian. In addition, if $k = \mathbf{F}_2$ then the only abelian normal subgroup of G is the trivial (one-element) subgroup.

(vii) Suppose F is a discrete valuation field with valuation ring O_F, maximal ideal m_F and residue field $k = O_F/m_F$. Suppose V_F is a finite-dimensional F-vector space and

$$\rho_F : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_F(V_F)$$

is a F-linear representation of G. Suppose T is a G-stable O_F-lattice in V_F and the corresponding $k[G]$-module $T/m_F T$ is isomorphic to V. Assume that the G-module V is very simple. Then the G-module V_F is also very simple. In other words, a lifting of a very simple module is also very simple. (See [18], Remark 5.2(v).)

Example 2.2. Suppose $k = \mathbf{F}_2, \dim_k(V) = 2, G = \mathrm{Aut}_k(V) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_2)$. Then the faithful absolutely simple G-module V is not very simple. Indeed, G is isomorphic to the symmetric group SS_3 and therefore contains a non-central abelian normal subgroup isomorphic to the alternating group $\mathbf{A}_3$. By Remark 2.1(vi), the G-module V is not very simple.

Applying Remarks 2.1(ii) and 2.1(iv), we conclude that all two-dimensional representations over $\mathbf{F}_2$ of any group are not very simple.

Example 2.3. Suppose V is a finite-dimensional vector space over a *finite* field k of characteristic ℓ and G is a *perfect* subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ enjoying the following properties:

(i) If Z is the center of G then the quotient $\Gamma := G/Z$ is a simple non-abelian group.

(ii) Every nontrivial projective representation of Γ in characteristic ℓ has dimension $\geq \dim_k(V)$.

Then the G-module V is very simple. See Cor. 5.4 in [18].

3. Counterexamples

Throughout this section, k is a field, V a non-zero finite-dimensional k-vector space and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$ is a linear representation of G in V.

Example 3.1. (i) Assume that there exist $k[G]$-modules V_1 and V_2 such that $\dim_k(V_1) > 1, \dim_k(V_2) > 1$ and the G-module V is isomorphic to $V_1 \otimes_k V_2$. Then V is *not* very simple. Indeed, the subalgebra

$$R = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_2} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \otimes_k \mathrm{End}_k(V_2) = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1 \otimes_k V_2) = \mathrm{End}_k(V)$$

is normal but coincides neither with $k \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ nor with $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$. (Here Id_{V_2} stands for the identity operator in V_2.) Clearly, the centralizer of R in $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Id}_{V_1} \otimes \mathrm{End}_k(V_2)$ and is also normal. (Here Id_{V_1} stands for the identity operator in V_1.)

(ii) Let $X \twoheadrightarrow G$ be a *surjective* group homomorphism. Assume that there exist $k[X]$-modules V_1 and V_2 such that $\dim_k(V_1) > 1, \dim_k(V_2) > 1$ and V, viewed as X-module, is isomorphic to $V_1 \otimes_k V_2$. Then the X-module V is *not* very simple. Since X and G have the same image in $\mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$, the G-module V is also *not* very simple.

Definition 3.2. Let V be a vector space over a field k, let G be a group and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$ a linear representation of G in V. Let

$$1 \to C \hookrightarrow X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G \to 1$$

be a *central* extension of G, i.e., C is a *central* subgroup of G which coincides with the kernel of *surjective* homomorphism $\pi : X \to G$. Suppose that the representation

$$X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$$

of X is isomorphic to a tensor product $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1 \otimes_k V_2)$ of two k-linear representations

$$\rho_1 : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1), \quad \rho_2 : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2)$$

with

$$\dim_k(V_1) > 1, \quad \dim_k(V_2) > 1.$$

Then we say that the G-module V *splits* and call the triple $(X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G; \rho_1, \rho_2)$ a *splitting* of the G-module V.

We say that V splits *projectively* if both images $\rho_1(C) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1)$ and $\rho_2(C) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2)$ consist of scalars. In other words, one may view both ρ_1 and ρ_2 as *projective* representations of G. In this case we call $(X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G; \rho_1, \rho_2)$ a *projective splitting* of the G-module V.

We call a splitting $(X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G; \rho_1, \rho_2)$ *absolutely simple* if both ρ_1 and ρ_2 are absolutely irreducible representations of X.

Remarks 3.3. We keep the notations of Definition 3.2.

(i) Clearly,

$$\mathrm{End}_X(V_1) \otimes_k \mathrm{End}_X(V_2) \subset \mathrm{End}_X(V_1 \otimes_k V_2) = \mathrm{End}_X(V) = \mathrm{End}_G(V).$$

This implies that if $\mathrm{End}_G(V) = k$ then $\mathrm{End}_X(V_1) = k$ and $\mathrm{End}_X(V_2) = k$.

(ii) Suppose W is a *proper* X-invariant subspace in V_1 (resp. in V_2). Then $W \otimes_k V_2$ (resp. $V_1 \otimes_k W$) is a *proper* X-invariant subspace in $V_1 \otimes_k V_2 = V$ and therefore the corresponding X-module V is *not* simple. This implies that the G-module V is also *not* simple.

(iii) Suppose that the G-module V is absolutely simple and splits. It follows from (i) and (ii) that both ρ_1 and ρ_2 are also absolutely simple. In other words, every splitting of an absolutely simple module is absolutely simple.

Now the centrality of C combined with the absolute irreducibility of ρ_1 and ρ_2 implies, thanks to Schur's Lemma, that both images $\rho_1(C) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1)$ and $\rho_2(C) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2)$ consist of scalars. In other words, every splitting of an absolutely simple G-module is projective.

(iv) Suppose G is a finite *perfect* group. We write $\gamma : \tilde{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$ for the universal central extension of G [14, Ch. 2, §9] also known as the *representation group* or the *primitive central extension* of G. It is known [14, Ch. 2, Th. 9.18] that $\tilde{G}$ is also a finite perfect group and for each central extension $X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G$ there exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi : \tilde{G} \twoheadrightarrow [X, X]$ to the derived subgroup $[X, X]$ of X such that the composition

$$\tilde{G} \overset{\phi}{\twoheadrightarrow} [X, X] \subset X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} G$$

coincides with $\gamma : \tilde{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$. This implies that while checking whether the G-module V admits a projective absolutely simple splitting, one may always restrict oneself to the case of $X = \tilde{G}$ and $\pi = \gamma$, and deal exclusively with absolutely irreducible linear representations of $\tilde{G}$ over k.

(v) We refer the reader to [12] for a study of projective representations of arbitrary finite groups over not necessarily algebraically closed fields.

Example 3.4. Assume that there exists a subgroup G' in G of finite index $m > 1$ and a G'-module W such that the $k[G]$-module V is *induced* from the $k[G']$-module W. Then V is *not* very simple. Indeed, one may view W as a G'-submodule of V such that V coincides with the direct sum $\oplus_{\sigma \in G/G'} \sigma W$ and G permutes all σW's. We write $\mathrm{Pr}_\sigma : V \twoheadrightarrow \sigma W \subset V$ for the corresponding projection maps. Then $R = \oplus_{\sigma \in G/G'} k \cdot \mathrm{Pr}_\sigma$ is the algebra of all operators sending each σW into itself and acting on each σW as scalars. Clearly, R is normal but coincides neither with $k \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ nor with $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$.

Notice that if the G'-module V' is *trivial* (i.e., $s(w) = w$ for all $s \in G', w \in W$) then the G-module V is *not* simple. Indeed, for any non-zero $w \in W$ the vector

$$v = \sum_{\sigma \in G/G'} \sigma(w) \in \oplus_{\sigma \in G/G'} \sigma W = V$$

is a non-zero G-invariant element of V. Since $\dim_k(V) \geq m > 1$, the G-module V is not simple.

Clearly, if $G' = \{1\}$ is the trivial subgroup of G then every G' -module is trivial. This implies that if the conditions of Lemma 1.3 hold true then (in the notations of 1.3) either the R-module V is isotypic or the G-module V is induced from a representation of a *proper* subgroup of finite index.

Remark 3.5. Suppose k'/k is a finite algebraic extension of fields. We write $\mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$ for the group of k-linear automorphisms of the field k'. It is well-known that $\mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$ is finite and its order divides the degree $[k' : k]$; the equality holds if and only if k'/k is Galois.

Suppose there exists a homomorphism $\chi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$ enjoying the following property:

There exists a structure of k'-vector space on V such that

$$\rho(s)(av) = (\chi(s)(a))v \quad \forall s \in G, a \in k', v \in V.$$

We claim that if the G-module V is absolutely simple then k'/k is Galois and χ is surjective. Indeed, let us consider the image $\chi(G) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$. We write k_0 for the subfield of $\chi(G)$-invariants in k'. We have

$$k \subset k_0 \subset k';$$

the degree $[k' : k_0]$ coincides with the order of $\chi(G)$ and therefore divides the order of $\mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$. Clearly, G acts on V by k_0-linear automorphisms, i.e., k_0 commutes with the action of G on V. Now the absolute irreducibility of V implies that $k_0 = k$. This implies that $[k_0 : k] = 1$. Since $[k' : k] = [k' : k_0][k_0 : k]$, we conclude that $[k' : k] = [k' : k_0]$ coincides with the order of $\chi(G)$ and therefore divides the order of $\mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$. This implies that $[k' : k]$ coincides with the order of $\mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$ and therefore k'/k is Galois. Since $[k' : k]$ coincides with the order of $\chi(G)$, the order of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$ must coincide with the order of its subgroup $\chi(G)$ and therefore $\chi(G) = \mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$, i.e., χ is surjective.

Definition 3.6. We say that the G-module V admits a *twisted multiplication* if there exist a *nontrivial* Galois extension k' of k and a surjective homomorphism $\chi : G \to \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ enjoying the following property:

There exists a structure of k'-vector space on V such that

$$\rho(s)(av) = (\chi(s)(a))v \quad \forall s \in G, a \in k', v \in V.$$

(Here $\mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ stands for the Galois group of k'/k.) In other words, G acts on V by k'-semi-linear automorphisms.

Example 3.7. Let us assume that V admits a twisted multiplication. Then the degree $[k' : k]$ divides $\dim_k(V)$ and therefore $\dim_k(V) > 1$. Then the G-module V is *not* very simple. Indeed, k' is obviously normal but does coincide neither with $k \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ nor with $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$, since $k' \neq k$ and $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is noncommutative.

Remark 3.8. Let us assume that either k is algebraically closed or G is perfect and k is finite. Then V never admits a twisted multiplication, because either every algebraic extension k'/k is trivial or G is perfect and every Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ is abelian. In the latter case every homomorphism from perfect G to abelian $\mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ must be trivial.

4. Main Theorem

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose the Brauer group of a field k is trivial (e.g., k is either finite or algebraically closed). Suppose V is a non-zero finite-dimensional k-vector space and*

$$\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$$

is a linear representation of a group G over k. Then the G-module V is very simple if and only if all the following conditions hold:

(i) *The G-module V is absolutely simple;*
(ii) *The G-module V does not admit a projective absolutely simple splitting;*
(iii) *The G-module V is not induced from a representation of a proper subgroup of finite index in G;*
(iv) *The G-module V does not admit a twisted multiplication.*

Corollary 4.2. *Let us assume that either k is algebraically closed or G is perfect and k is finite. Suppose V is a non-zero finite-dimensional k-vector space and*

$$\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$$

is a linear representation of a group G over k. Then the G-module V is very simple if and only if all the following conditions hold:

(i) *The G-module V is absolutely simple;*
(ii) *The G-module V does not admit a projective absolutely simple splitting;*
(iii) *The G-module V is not induced from a representation of a proper subgroup of finite index in G.*

Proof of Corollary 4.2. Indeed, the Brauer group of k is trivial. Now the proof follows readily from Theorem 4.1 combined with Remark 3.8.

□

Taking into account that every projective representation over $\mathbf{F}_2$ is, in fact, linear, we obtain the following assertion.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose V is a non-zero finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{F}_2$ and*

$$\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_2}(V)$$

is a linear representation of a group G over $\mathbf{F}_2$. Then the G-module V is very simple if and only if all the following conditions hold:

(i) *The G-module V is absolutely simple;*

(ii) *The G-module V does not split into a tensor product*

$$V \cong V_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_2} V$$

of two absolutely simple G-modules V_1 and V_2 with

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(V_1) > 1, \quad \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(V_2) > 1;$$

(iii) *The G-module V is not induced from a representation of a proper subgroup of finite index in G.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. It follows from results of §3 that every very simple representation enjoys all the properties (i)-(iv). Now suppose that an absolutely irreducible representation

$$\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$$

enjoys the properties (ii)-(iv). It follows from Remark 3.3(iii) that the G-module V does not split.

Let $R \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ be a normal subalgebra. Since the G-module V is not induced, it follows from Lemma 1.3 and Example 3.4 that the faithful R-submodule V is isotypic. This means that there exist a simple R-module W, a positive integer d and an isomorphism

$$\psi : V \cong W^d$$

of R-modules. The following arguments are inspired by another result of Clifford [1], [6, Satz 17.5 on p. 567].

Let us put

$$V_1 = W, \quad V_2 = k^d.$$

The isomorphism ψ gives rise to the isomorphism of k-vector spaces

$$V = W^d = W \otimes_k k^d = V_1 \otimes_k V_2.$$

We have

$$d \cdot \dim_k(W) = \dim_k(V)$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathrm{Mat}_d(\mathrm{End}_R(W))$ of size d over $\mathrm{End}_R(W)$.

Let us put

$$k' = \mathrm{End}_R(W).$$

Since W is simple, k' is a finite-dimensional division algebra over k. Since the Brauer group of k is trivial, k' must be a field. Clearly, k' is a finite algebraic extension of k.

We have

$$\mathrm{End}_k(V) \supset \mathrm{End}_R(V) = \mathrm{Mat}_d(k') \supset k'.$$

In particular,

$$k \subset k' \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V).$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{End}_R(V) \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is stable under the adjoint action of G. This induces a homomorphism

$$\alpha : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathrm{End}_R(V)) = \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathrm{Mat}_d(k')),\ \alpha(s)(u) = \rho(s)u\rho(s)^{-1} \quad \forall s \in G, u \in \mathrm{End}_R(V).$$

Since k' is the center of $\mathrm{Mat}_d(k')$, it is stable under the conjugate action of G. Thus we get a homomorphism $\chi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(k'/k)$ such that

$$\chi(s)(a) = \alpha(s)(a) = \rho(s)a\rho(s)^{-1} \quad \forall s \in G, a \in k'.$$

I claim that the absolute irreducibility of V implies that k'/k is Galois and χ is surjective. Indeed, the inclusion $k' \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ provides V with a natural structure of k'-vector space and it is clear that

$$\rho(s)(av) = (\chi(s)(a))v \quad \forall s \in G, a \in k', v \in V.$$

It follows from Remark 3.5 that k'/k is Galois and $\chi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(k'/k) = \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ is surjective. Since V does *not* admit a twisted multiplication, $k' = k$.

This implies that $\mathrm{End}_R(V) = \mathrm{Mat}_d(k)$ and one may rewrite α as

$$\alpha : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathrm{Mat}_d(k)) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}_k(V_2)) = \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2)/k^* = \mathrm{PGL}(V_2).$$

It follows from the Jacobson density theorem that $R = \mathrm{End}_k(W) = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1)$.
The adjoint action of G on R gives rise to a homomorphism

$$\beta : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathrm{End}_k(W)) = \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathrm{End}_k(V_1)) = \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1)/k^* = \mathrm{PGL}(V_1).$$

Notice that

$$R = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_2} \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \otimes_k \mathrm{End}_k(V_2) = \mathrm{End}_k(V).$$

Clearly, there exists a central extension $\pi : X \twoheadrightarrow G$ such that one may lift *projective* representations α and β to linear representations

$$\rho_2' : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2), \quad \rho_1 : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1).$$

respectively. For instance, one may take as X the subgroup of $G \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2)$ which consists of all triples (g, u_1, u_2) such that $\alpha(g)$ coincides with the image of u_2 in $\mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2)/k^*$ and $\beta(g)$ coincides with the image of u_1 in $\mathrm{Aut}_k(V_1)/k^*$. In this case the homomorphisms π, ρ_1, ρ_2' are just the corresponding projection maps

$$(g, u_1, u_2) \mapsto g; \quad (g, u_1, u_2) \mapsto u_1; \quad (g, u_1, u_2) \mapsto u_2.$$

Now I am going to check that the tensor product $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2'$ coincides with the composition

$$\rho\pi : X \twoheadrightarrow G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$$

up to a twist by a linear character of X.

In order to do that, notice that if $x \in X$ and $g = \pi(x) \in G$ then the conjugation by $\rho(g)$ in $\mathrm{End}_k(V) = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1 \otimes_k V_2)$ leaves stable $R = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_2}$ and coincides on R with the conjugation by $\rho_1(x) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_2}$ (by the definition of β and ρ_1). Since the centralizer of $\mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_2}$ in

$$\mathrm{End}_k(V) = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \otimes_k \mathrm{End}_k(V_2)$$

coincides with $\mathrm{Id}_{V_1} \otimes \mathrm{End}_k(V_2)$, there exists $u \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(V_2)$ such that

$$\rho(g) = \rho_1(x) \otimes u.$$

Since the conjugation by $\rho(g)$ leaves stable the centralizer of R, i.e. $\mathrm{Id}_{V_1} \otimes \mathrm{End}_k(V_2)$ and coincides on it with the conjugation by $\mathrm{Id}_{V_1} \otimes \rho_2'(x)$ (by the definition of α and ρ_2'), there exists a non-zero constant $\lambda = \lambda(x) \in k^*$ such that $u = \lambda\rho_2'(x)$. This implies that for each $x \in X$ there exists a non-zero constant $\lambda = \lambda(x)$ such that

$$\rho\pi(x) = \rho(g) = \rho_1(x) \otimes u = \lambda \cdot \rho_1(x) \otimes \rho_2'(x).$$

Since both

$$\rho\pi : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V), \quad \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2' : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V),$$

are group homomorphisms, one may easily check that the map

$$X \to k^*, \quad x \mapsto \lambda = \lambda(x)$$

is a group homomorphism (linear character). Let us define ρ_2 as the *twist*

$$\rho_2 : X \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(V), \quad \rho_2(x) = \lambda(x) \cdot \rho_2'(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Clearly, ρ_2 is a linear representation of X and

$$\rho\pi = \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2.$$

Since the G-module V does not split, either $\dim_k(V_1) = 1$ or $\dim_k(V_2) = 1$. If $\dim_k(V_1) = 1$ then $R = \mathrm{End}_k(W) = \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) = k$ consists of scalars. If $\dim_k(V_2) = 1$ then $d = \dim_k(V_2) = 1$, i.e., $V = W$ and $R = \mathrm{End}_k(W) = \mathrm{End}_k(V)$. $\square$

5. Doubly transitive permutation groups

Let B be a finite set consisting of $n \geq 3$ elements. We write $\mathrm{Perm}(B)$ for the group of all permutations of B. A choice of ordering on B gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Perm}(B) \cong \mathbf{S}_n.$$

Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Perm}(B)$. For each $b \in B$ we write G_b for the stabilizer of b in G; it is a subgroup of G.

Let k be a field. We write k^B for the n-dimensional k-vector space of maps $h : B \to k$. The space k^B is provided with a natural action of $\mathrm{Perm}(B)$ defined as follows. Each $s \in \mathrm{Perm}(B)$ sends a map $h : B \to \mathbf{F}$ into $sh : b \mapsto h(s^{-1}(b))$. The permutation module k^B contains the $\mathrm{Perm}(B)$-stable hyperplane

$$(k^B)^0 = \{h : B \to k \mid \sum_{b \in B} h(b) = 0\}$$

and the $\mathrm{Perm}(B)$-invariant line $k \cdot 1_B$ where 1_B is the constant function 1. The quotient $k^B/(k^B)^0$ is a trivial 1-dimensional $\mathrm{Perm}(B)$-module.

Clearly, $(k^B)^0$ contains $k \cdot 1_B$ if and only if $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides n. If this is *not* the case then there is a $\mathrm{Perm}(B)$-invariant splitting

$$k^B = (k^B)^0 \oplus k \cdot 1_B.$$

Clearly, k^B and $(\mathbf{F}^B)^0$ carry natural structures of G-modules.

Now, let us consider the case of $k = \mathbf{F}_2$. If n is even then let us define the G-module

$$Q_B := (\mathbf{F}_2^B)^0/(\mathbf{F}_2 \cdot 1_B).$$

If n is odd then let us put

$$Q_B := (\mathbf{F}_2^B)^0.$$

Remark 5.1. Clearly, $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B) = n-1$ if n is odd and $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B) = n-2$ if n is even. In both cases $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B) \ge 2$. One may easily check that Q_B is a faithful G-module if $n \ne 4$.

The G-module Q_B is called the *heart* over the field $\mathbf{F}_2$ of the group G acting on the set B [11]. The aim of this section is to find out when the G-module Q_B is very simple. It follows from Example 2.2 that if Q_B is very simple then $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B) > 2$ and therefore $n \ge 5$.

Remark 5.2. Assume that n is odd. Then one may easily check that $\mathrm{End}_G(Q_B) = \mathbf{F}_2$ if and only if G is doubly transitive. This implies that if n is odd and the G-module Q_B is absolutely simple then G is doubly transitive. This implies that if n is odd and the G-module Q_B is very simple then G is doubly transitive.

Remark 5.3. Let us assume that $n \ge 5$ is even, the G-module Q_B is absolutely simple but G is *not* transitive. Let us present B as a disjoint union of two non-empty G-invariant subsets B_1 and B_2. Suppose each B_i contains, at least, 2 elements. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\#(B_2) \ge \#(B_1)$ and therefore $\#(B_2) \ge 3$.

There is an embedding of G-modules

$$\kappa : \mathbf{F}_2^{B_1} \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{F}_2^{B})^0, \quad h \mapsto \kappa(h)$$

defined as follows.

$$\kappa(h)(b_1) = h(b_1) \quad \forall b_1 \in B_1; \quad \kappa(h)(b_2) = \sum_{b \in B_1} h(b) \quad \forall b_2 \in B_2.$$

Suppose $1_B \in \kappa(\mathbf{F}_2^{B_1})$. Then both B_1 and B_2 consist of odd number of elements and therefore

$$\#(B_1) \ge 3, \quad \#(B_2) \ge 3.$$

Clearly,

$$2 \le \#(B_1) - 1 = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(\kappa(\mathbf{F}_2^{B_1})) = n - \#(B_2) - 1 \le n - 4 < \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B).$$

Therefore the G-module Q_B is *not* simple. Contradiction.

Now suppose 1_B does not lie in $\kappa(\mathbf{F}_2^{B_1})$. Then

$$1 \le \#(B_1) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(\kappa(\mathbf{F}_2^{B_1})) = \#(B_1) = n - \#(B_2) \le n - 3 < \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B).$$

Therefore the G-module Q_B is *not* simple. Contradiction.

This implies that either B_1 or B_2 is a singleton.

We conclude that if n is even, the G-module Q_B is simple but G is not transitive then B is the disjoint union of two G orbits of cardinality $n-1$ and 1 respectively. In other words, there exists $b \in B$ such that $G = G_b$ and the action of G on $B \setminus \{b\}$ is transitive. Notice that if we denote $B \setminus b$ by B' then the G-modules Q_B and $Q_{B'}$ are isomorphic [17, Remark 2.5 on p. 95] (see also [10, Hilffsatz 3b]). Applying Remark 5.2 to B', we conclude that the action of G on B' is doubly transitive.

Remark 5.4. Suppose $n = 2m$ is even, G is transitive but not doubly transitive. Assume also the G-module Q_B is very simple. Then $n \ge 5$ and Q_B is absolutely simple. According to [10, Satz 11], this implies that m is odd and there exists a subgroup $H \subset G$ of index 2 such that B can be presented as a disjoint union of two H-invariant subsets B_1 and B_2 of cardinality m.

Since $n \ge 5$, we conclude that $m \ge 3$. There is an embedding of H-modules

$$\kappa : \mathbf{F}_2^{B_1} \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{F}_2^{B})^0, \quad h \mapsto \kappa(h)$$

defined as follows.

$$\kappa(h)(b_1) = h(b_1) \quad \forall b_1 \in B_1; \quad \kappa(h)(b_2) = \sum_{b \in B_1} h(b) \quad \forall b_2 \in B_2.$$

Clearly, $1_B \in \kappa(\mathbf{F}_2^{B_1})$. We have

$$2 \le m-1 = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(\kappa(\mathbf{F}_2^{B_1})) = m-1 < 2m-2 = n-2 = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B).$$

Therefore the H-module Q_B is *not* simple. Since H is obviously normal in G and the G-module Q_B is very simple, we conclude that H acts on Q_B via scalars. Since $\mathbf{F}_2^* = \{1\}$, H acts on Q_B trivially. But this contradicts to the faithfulness of the G-module Q_B.

We conclude that if $n \ge 5$ is even, G is transitive and the G-module Q_B is very simple then G must be doubly transitive.

To summarize, we arrive to the following conclusion.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that $n \ge 3$ is an integer, B is an n-element set, $G \subset \mathrm{Perm}(B)$ is a permutation group. Suppose that the G-module Q_B is very simple. Then $n \ge 5$ and one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (i) *G acts doubly transitively on B;*
- (ii) *n is even, there exists a G-invariant element $b \in B$ and G acts doubly transitively on $B' := B \setminus \{b\}$. In addition, the G-modules Q_B and $Q_{B'}$ are isomorphic.*

Example 5.6. Suppose that there exist a positive integer $m > 2$ and an odd power prime q such that $n = \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$ and one may identify B with the $(m-1)$-dimensional projective space $\mathbf{P}^{m-1}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$ in such a way that G contains $\mathrm{L}_m(q) = \mathrm{PSL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Then the G-module Q_B is very simple.

Indeed, in light of Remark 2.1(ii), we may assume that $G = \mathrm{L}_m(q)$; in particular G is a simple non-abelian group acting doubly transitively on $B = \mathbf{P}^{m-1}(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

Assume that $(m, q) \ne (4, 3)$. It follows from a result of Guralnick [5] that every nontrivial projective representation of $G = \mathrm{L}_m(q)$ in characteristic 2 has dimension $\ge \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B)$ (see [18, Remark 4.4]). It follows from Example 2.3 that the G-module Q_B is very simple.

So, we may assume that $m = 4, q = 3$. We have $n = \#(B) = 40$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(Q_B) = 38$. It is known [11] that the G-module Q_B is absolutely simple.

According to the Atlas [2, pp. 68-69], $G = \mathrm{L}_4(3)$ has two conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups of index 40. All other maximal subgroups have index greater than 40. Therefore all proper subgroups of G have index greater than $39 > 38$ and therefore Q_B is not induced from a representation of a proper subgroup.

It follows from the Table on p. 165 of [9] that all absolutely irreducible representations of G in characteristic 2 have dimension which is *not* a strict divisor of 38. Applying Corollary 4.3, we conclude that Q_B is very simple.

Theorem 5.7. *Suppose that $n \ge 5$ is an integer, B is a set consisting of n elements. Suppose $G \subset \mathrm{Perm}(B)$ is one of the known doubly transitive permutation groups (listed in [11, 4]). Then the G-module Q_B is very simple if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *G is isomorphic either to the full symmetric group SS_n or to the alternating group $\mathbf{A}_n$;*

(ii) *There exist a positive integer $m > 2$ and an odd power prime q such that $n = \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$ and one may identify B with the $(m-1)$-dimensional projective space $\mathbf{P}^{m-1}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$ in such a way that G contains $L_m(q) = \mathrm{PSL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)$;*

(iii) *$q = n-1$ is a power of 2 and one may identify B with the projective line $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_q)$ in such a way that G contains $L_2(\mathbf{F}_q) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$;*

(iv) *There exists a positive integer $d \ge 2$ such that $q := 2^d, n = q^3+1$ and G contains a subgroup isomorphic to the projective special unitary group $\mathrm{U}_3(q) = \mathbf{P}\mathrm{SU}(3, \mathbf{F}_{q^2})$;*

(v) *There exists a positive integer $d \ge 2$ such that $q = 2^{2d+1}, n = q^2+1$ and G contains a subgroup isomorphic to the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$;*

(vi) *$n = 11$ and G is isomorphic either to $L_2(11)$ or to the Mathieu group M_{11};*

(vii) *$n = 12$ and G is isomorphic either to M_{11} or to the Mathieu group M_{12}.*

Proof. The fact that all the G-modules Q_B arised from 5.7(i)-(vii) are very simple was proven in [15](cases (i), (iii), (v), (vi), (vii)), [19](case (iv)) and in the present paper (Example 5.6: case (ii)). On the other hand, the paper [11] (complemented by [8]) contains the list of doubly transitive $G \subset \mathrm{Perm}(B)$ with absolutely simple Q_B. In addition to the cases 5.7(i)-(vii), the G-module Q_B is absolutely simple only if one of the following conditions holds:

(a) There exists an odd power prime q and a positive integer d such that $n = q^d$ and one may identify B with the affine space $\mathbf{F}_q^d$ in such a way that G is contained in $\mathbf{A}\Gamma\mathrm{Ł}(d, \mathbf{F}_q)$ and contains the group $\mathbf{F}_q^d$ of translations. Here $\mathbf{A}\Gamma\mathrm{Ł}(d, \mathbf{F}_q)$ is the group of permutations of $\mathbf{F}_q^d$ generated by the group $\mathrm{AGL}(d, \mathbf{F}_q)$ of affine transformations and the Frobenius automorphism;

(b) There exists an odd power prime q such that $n = q+1$ and one may identify B with the projective line $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_q)$ in such a way that G becomes a 3-transitive subgroup of $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathrm{Ł}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$. Here $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathrm{Ł}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$ is the group of permutations of $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_q)$ generated by $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$ and the Frobenius automorphism.

In the case (a) the group $\mathbf{F}_q^d$ of translations is a proper normal abelian subgroup of G. It follows from Remark 2.1(vi) that Q_B is not very simple.

In the case (b) let us consider the intersection $G' = G \bigcap \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$. Clearly, G' is a normal subgroup of G. Since the $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$-module Q_B is not absolutely simple [11], the G'-module is also not absolutely simple. By Remark 2.1(vi), G' acts on Q_B by scalars. Since $\mathbf{F}_2^* = \{1\}$ and the G-module Q_B is faithful, $G' = \{1\}$. Since $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$ is a subgroup of index 2 in $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$, the intersection $H := G \bigcap \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$ is either a normal subgroup of order 2 in G or trivial (one-element subgroup). In the latter case G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the cyclic quotient $\mathbf{P}\Gamma\mathrm{Ł}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)/\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbf{F}_q)$ and therefore is commutative which contradicts the absolute simplicity of the G-module Q_B. In the former case, H is an abelian normal subgroup of G and it follows from Remark 2.1(vi) that Q_B is not very simple. □

6. Applications to hyperelliptic jacobians

Throughout this section we assume that K is a field of prime characteristic p different from 2. We fix its algebraic closure K_a and write $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ for the absolute

Galois group $\mathrm{Aut}(K_a/K)$. Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree n without multiple roots. We write $\mathfrak{R}_f$ for the set of roots of f. Clearly, $\mathfrak{R}_f$ is a subset of K_a consisting of n elements. Let $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_a$ be the splitting field of f. Clearly, $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K$ is a Galois extension and we write $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ for its Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$. By definition, $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ permutes elements of $\mathfrak{R}_f$; further we identify $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ with the corresponding subgroup of $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$.

Remark 6.1. Clearly, $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is transitive if and only if the polynomial $f(x)$ is irreducible. It is also clear that the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) There exists a root $\alpha \in K$ and an irreducible polynomial $f_1(x) \in K[x]$ of degree $n-1$ and without multiple roots such that

$$f(x) = (x-\alpha)f_1(x);$$

(ii) There exists a $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$-invariant element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f$ such that $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{R}_f \setminus \{\alpha\}$.

Let C_f be the hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = f(x)$. Its genus g is $\frac{n-1}{2}$ if n is odd and $\frac{n-2}{2}$ if n is even. Let $J(C_f)$ be the jacobian of C_f; it is a g-dimensional abelian variety defined over K. Let $J(C_f)_2$ be the kernel of multiplication by 2 in $J(C_f)(K_a)$; it is $2g$-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_2$-vector space provided with the natural action

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_2}(J(C_f)_2)$$

of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$. It is well-known (see for instance [15]) that the homomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_2}(J(C_f)_2)$ factors through the canonical surjection $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(f)$ and the $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$-modules $J(C)_2$ and $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ are isomorphic. It follows easily that the $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-module $J(C_f)_2$ is very simple if and only if the $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$-module $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ is very simple. Combining Theorem 5.5 and Remark 6.1, we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose the* $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$*-module* $J(C_f)_2$ *is very simple. Then one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *The polynomial* $f(x) \in K[x]$ *is irreducible and its Galois group* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *acts doubly transitively on* $\mathfrak{R}_f$*;*

(ii) n *is even, there exists a root* $\alpha \in K$ *of* f *and an irreducible polynomial* $f_1(x) \in K[x]$ *of degree* $n-1$ *and without multiple roots such that*

$$f(x) = (x-\alpha)f_1(x).$$

In addition, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(f_1)$ *of* f_1 *acts doubly transitively on* $\mathfrak{R}_{f_1} = \mathfrak{R}_f \setminus \{\alpha\}$.

Remark 6.3. In the case 6.2(ii) the hyperelliptic curves C_f and $C_{f_1} : y^2 = f_1(x)$ are birationally isomorphic over K.

REFERENCES

[1] A. H. Clifford. Representations induced in an invariant subgroup. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **38** (1937), 533–550.

[2] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of finite groups.* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[3] Ch. W. Curtis, I. Reiner. *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras.* Interscience Publishers, New York London, 1962.

[4] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer. *Permutation Groups.* Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.

[5] R. M. Guralnick, Pham Huu Tiep. Low-dimensional representations of special linear groups in cross characteristic. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 116–138.

[6] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1967.

[7] I. M. Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. Academic Press, New York San Francisco London, 1976.

[8] A. A. Ivanov, Ch. E. Praeger, *On finite affine 2-Arc transitive graphs*. Europ. J. Combinatorics **14** (1993), 421–444.

[9] Ch. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker, R. Wilson. *An Atlas of Brauer characters*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[10] M. Klemm, Über die Reduktion von Permutationsmoduln. *Math. Z.* **143** (1975), 113–117.

[11] B. Mortimer, The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **41** (1980), 1–20.

[12] R. Quinlan. Generic central extensions and projective representations of finite groups. *Representation Theory* **5** (2001), 129–146.

[13] J.-P. Serre. *Représentations linéares des groupes finis*. Hermann, Paris, 1978.

[14] M. Suzuki. *Group Theory* I. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1982.

[15] Yu. G. Zarhin. Hyperelliptic jacobians and modular representations. In: *Moduli of abelian varieties* (C. Faber, G. van der Geer, F. Oort, eds.), pp. 473–490, Progress in Math., Vol. 195, Birkhäuser, Basel–Boston–Berlin, 2001.

[16] Yu. G. Zarhin. Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication in positive characteristic. *Math. Res. Letters* **8** (2001), 429–435.

[17] Yu. G. Zarhin. Cyclic covers of the projective line, their jacobians and endomorphisms. *J. reine angew. Math.* **544** (2002), 91–110.

[18] Yu. G. Zarhin. Very simple 2-adic representations and hyperelliptic jacobians. *Moscow Math. J.* **2** (2002), issue 2, 403-431.

[19] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians and simple groups* $\mathrm{U}_3(2^m)$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 1, 95–102.

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

E-mail address: `zarhin@math.psu.edu`